BOBBIE STEIN
Attorney at Law
State Bar No.: 113239
503 Dolores Street, Suite 201
San Francisco, CA 94110
Telephone 415/255-0301
Attorney for Petitioner
JUAN MANUEL ANDAZOLA

2.1

2.2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JUAN MANUEL ANDAZOLA

Petitioner,

Vs.

DECLARATION IN SUPPORT
OF MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT
OF COUNSEL.

JEANNE S. WOODFORD, Director
of the California Department of
Corrections, and Richard Kirdland,
Warden, Pelican Bay State Prison.

Respondent.

## TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA:

## I, BOBBIE STEIN, declare:

- 1. I am a member of the Bar of the State of California and of the Northern District of California and of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeal. I have handled criminal matters my entire legal career and have been doing criminal appeals since 1984. I am a panel attorney on the First District Appellate Project. I handle cases at the trial and appellate levels. My practice is mostly in the state courts but I have handled criminal matters in federal court as well handling a federal civil rights case as part of a team of attorneys.
- 2. I was a faculty member of New College School of Law from 1989 to 1997, teaching criminal law related classes and ran a criminal defense clinic there for

3

7

5

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

//

//

//

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

seven years. I now teach a class in criminal pre-trial litigation at the University of California's Boalt Hall.

- 3. I am not currently on the federal panel but have been appointed in the past to represent an indigent appellant. I have also litigated in the 9th circuit on behalf of two criminal defendants after having represented them at trial. The case was dismissed by the U.S. Attorney after the appeal and resulted in a published decision.<sup>1</sup>
- 4. I am convinced that the petitioner did not receive a fair trial under the United States Constitution, due to the withholding of information about the arresting officer's previous history of falsifying police reports. Furthermore, I believe that the evidence was insufficient to show that petitioner was the shooter in the case, particularly in light of the fact that the victim originally named someone else as his assailant. I also believe that the evidence was insufficient to prove premeditation and deliberation. I believe that the court also erred in not giving the defense pinpoint instruction. I believe that petitioner was deprived of his constitutional rights to due process and a fair trial and support his seeking federal review.
- 5. Petitioner has no legal training. I do not believe that he is competent to represent himself in this federal litigation.
- 6. Having represented Mr. ANDAZOLA throughout the state proceedings, I am familiar with the record and the issues in this matter.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>United States v. Talbot, 51 F.3d 183 (9th cir. 1995).

	Case 3:07-cv-06227-PJH Document 6 Filed 12/10/2007 Page 3 of 4
1	
2	7. For the foregoing reasons, I have prepared the petition and memorandum of law
3	on Mr. ANDAZOLA's behalf, appearing specially. I am requesting that I be
4	appointed to represent Mr. ANDAZOLA in this matter.
5	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the
6	best of my knowledge.
7	Executed this day of November, 2007 at Oakland, California.
8	
9	
10	
11	BOBBIE STEIN
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
<ul><li>18</li><li>19</li></ul>	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

27

28